

TO-DOs:

1. Court clerk will likely cover this. What is your full name? Paul John Hansen

2. Mr. Hansen, What is your age? 63

3. What is your company name? FREEINHABITANT.INFO

4. Could you spell that? FREEINHABITANT.INFO

5. What is your business contact information? P.O. Box 314, Repton, Alabama 36475.

FREEINHABITANT.INFO and pauljjhansen.com

6. Why are you here today?

I was subpoenaed to appear by you, and was also at that time given a list of questions from you that I will be called upon to answer for you.

7. Are you prepared to answer those questions now?

Yes, I have spent several hours studying the questions and I have the answers before me in written form to aid me in recalling the correct answers precisely, and accurately.

7.1. Are you qualified to be an expert witness? No, But I do have have 30 years of personally studying, and working with hundreds of people over the years, who are directly associated with the study of proper application of the taxing laws, and there limitations. I specialize in showing people how they can force a judge, or IRS agent, to evidence taxing authority upon men like you, or upon business like your nail salon, and agriculture activity.

8. Have I coached you on how to answered those questions?

No, all questions have been answered on my personal first hand knowledge, and experience. Your participation was only to hand me the questions, and to answer, with more clarity, on some of your questions as I present them.

9. Did you aid Me in assembling these questions to some degree?

Yes, I did, as I have said, I have 30 plus years of studying the IRS prosecutions, thus it has been common that I attempted to help Defendant's to assemble many of the pertinent questions as is relevant to Defendant's individual charges in their cases, and also to attempt to answer the many common questions that normally are on the minds of the average jury in such similar cases.

9. What is your history background associated with this tax case?

I am an 'information provider', and offer my services as an witness, as associated with how to use 'US Legislative Written Law' as primarily found in United States law ((Title 26 Subsection 6203)), as well as other evidences of law, such as the 'Declaration of Independence' and the other three recognized Constitutions that still operate as limiting law upon the United States, and it's officers and agents. Foremost to use United States congressional written law (Section 6203) to force the IRS to inform you the Defendant if you have a legal duty to file, and what amount is your tax liability, and if any money is due to the United States of America, as a matter of law, or contract. My services are based on >

A 'Founding Fathers' quote by- Thomas Jefferson, as found in what is called - The Fair Copy of the Kentucky Resolutions of the year of 1798 - "...the sacred force of truth, & the forms and substance of law & justice: in questions of power then, let no more be heard of confidence in man, but bind him down from mischief by the chains of the constitution."

10. As found in your business records, and memory, as to your first association with me, when did you first start acting as an information provider for me?

I have well over a thousand clients, but it appears that you first sent me a letter around 2006 or 08, with, I trust, your payment, asking for my 'Request for Assessment, 26USC6203 Package' that I sell for 35\$, or possibly a few years before. I say this because that is when I started using such such language, as you personally showed me is your formatted letter that you utilized in all 10 of your mailings to the IRS over the last 10 years, in what I always recommend my clients to refer to as the 'Request for Assessment per the Title 26 United States Code 6203'.

(???)

11. What do you advertise that this ~~xxxx~~ '6203 Product Package' Assessment Package, provides to the purchaser.

It is very simple, as I understand, it utilizes the written U.S. Legislative law, generally that no man, like you, is required by law to assess themselves. They can file the 1040 U.S. INDIVIDUAL TAX RETURN if they wish, but congress acknowledges that it can not be mandatory. Yet possibly it is mandatory upon corporations that exist under the laws of 'The United States of America'. Which, at this time, I have no evidence provided to me if that is the case with your case. It appears to me that 'The United States of America' prosecutors are going after the man, Thomas Hird, and not any corporate entity. To fully answer the question it has much to do with the 'Bill of Rights', as established in 1791, as enumerated in 'The Constitution for the United States of America', specifically the 5th Amendment, that the Legislature is forbidden to force any man to: "...be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself,...". As what is required, for all such returns, at the base of the said 1040 form, it states, in the taxpayers signature area - "Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return and accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, they are true, correct, and complete."

In a nut shell when our clients send this '6203 Assessment Request' to the IRS agent, generally, my clients get a few years of notices from the IRS that they must file "if" they are 'required'. And then we encourage all the clients to do their own personal research, we do have packages on that also at no cost, to our paid client, on why the IRS says "IF REQUIRED", and why they do not, on the notice to them, state that they are required. And the client is then instructed to mail to the IRS yearly the 6203 Request for Assessment, which thus far has stopped all collection actions for every client we have, which is in the hundreds, that I am aware of, except Thomas Hird.

And the only distinction with Thomas Hird's Assessment Request is that he always tried to send it in the same time as he sent in the 1040s, be it electronically, or by mail. After close examination of every 6203 Assessment Request, one can see that Thomas Hird only agreed to the payment of tax if the IRS would evidence that Thomas Hird had a legal duty to file the 1040, by providing through an IRS agent the required signed, sworn, assessment, by an IRS agent, as instructed by the U.S. Legislatures written law of Title 26USC6203.

12. Were you given any notice by me of what I was sending to the IRS yearly?

Yes, which we always ask our clients, that order this product, to check the computer links to see if we improved the product contents that year. With each 35\$ payment they have perpetual access to the product, and its yearly improvements, and guidance for application for various purposes. I specifically remember communicating with you, by mailings, back and forth, that it is best not to change anything within the notice, and to send the same notice yearly, to the local IRS office that is communicating with you, and to only change the date of mailing, or any addresses if needed. Adding that if it were to ever go to court, an exact document sent yearly helps the jury to grasp what your main goal was, and that is to completely prove that you have "no intent to do a crime". I tell all my clients that if it ever goes to federal court that I can easily testify that the document goes to prove no intent, and often can show factually that there is a great deal of evidence of intent of various IRS agents to defraud clients by misrepresenting that clients have a legal duty to pay, or even file. Of late we have discovered that any 1040 filing that is completed, by any man, that was filed without evidence that that man was, or is, qualified, eligible, or required, to file a 1040, and thus such filing, or non-filing, can not be converted into a crime, or intentional illegal act, and at best can only be deemed as a mere donation at best, or a payment made due to false actions of the IRS agents, or mere silence by individual IRS agents. Every IRS case that I have ever studied always has the same closing argument "that the Defendant knew that he was to report his income accurately, and that he intentional refused to do it, or do it correctly. And that he is a thief because you the jury must follow the law, and so should he.

13. So in your investigations who is required to file a '1040 U.S. INDIVIDUAL TAX RETURN', on or before April 15, 2021?

After 30 years of my own ongoing personal empirical investigations, which you can find on my web site, or in my virtual office, of freeinhabitant.info. With the aid of the hundreds of hours of counsel under various federal, and state judges, attorneys, and legal researchers, IN A NUT SHELL - A person that meets the definition of "INDIVIDUAL" as the term is defined in Legislative written law, and the IRS Code, and has generated a specific amount of income in the year of 2020, domestically, in the United States of America, for that given year.

14. Ok, lets break this down so the jury has a good understanding of what information you provided, me as your client, what do you fully mean by what you just stated:

(i.) **FIRST "A person that meets the definition of "INDIVIDUAL" as the term is defined in Legislative written law, and the IRS Code,...."**

ANSWER – the term INDIVIDUAL which is liable, is simply evidence that such law is limited. Therefore I always instruct my clients to never say you are not liable, or not a taxpayer, or not anything. I clearly state that the most important necessity of your communication with the IRS, or with any U.S. court, is not to make statements, but to keep the burden on the Plaintiff, which in this case is United States of America. So knowing that a simply respond to the IRS agent, or officer, or prosecutor, when asked if you are an "individual" liable to file a tax, or any document with them. But rather to simply state that you have no evidence in your possession that you are an INDIVIDUAL as defined in U.S. written law. And always, ask to see the evidence if any exists. [SHOW ME, IN FROM MISSOURI] If they ask if you are a U.S. taxpayer, or citizen, or resident, employee, or you do any business "in the land owned" by 'The United States of America', or any associated city, county or state, that you simply restate "" you have no evidence in your possession that _____ then fill in what they are implying or claiming."" I tell my clients that if they state that they are not a U.S. citizen as such is defined in US written law, then they must prove their statement, if asked in a court of law. But if you say "I have no evidence in my possession that _____, then the burden to prove the essential fact is upon the Plaintiff, and not you, which in most cases they can not prove because there is no such evidence. Most of the IRS collection in my experience is bluff, as IRS agents working with knowledge of the peoples fear of losing everything, they have, to the most powerful government in the world. A layman, like you, has no chance of wining against the United States, or the IRS, in my opinion, without highly experienced, counsel. I have been told by Ed Shell, a lawyer, who claimed to have won 50 or 60 IRS cases, by simply asking for the assessment, he added that not one was won with the aid of a licensed representing attorney, because those attorney protect the ongoing fraud of the IRS by way of the U.S. courts and assure misinformation is on the record, thus the individual loses.

(ii.) **what do you fully mean by:**

"...has generated a specific amount of income in the year of 2020,".

This is very much to where the '6203 assessment' comes into play. The Plaintiff, by way of the IRS, and strict format laid out by the U.S. Legislature, must assess you, when requested, as to if you have taxable income in the subject year. Rule number one in the 6203 package never assess yourself. Always have the IRS assess you, they know the law, they are the experts, and they must, and if done by them, it must be under penalties of perjury to be a true assessment. I also add, why would you ever consciously, consent to ever waiveing your 5th Amendment right not to perjure yourself, and face 15 years in jail like you are today, and risk the lose of everything you have, including your reputation, and often your marriage.

They must make a sworn statement that the business actually acted in a specific land, owned by the United States, and had a business taxable income. as a matter of law, to be liable to file or to any such tax.

(iii.) **as to your earlier statement as to tax-ability, what do you fully mean by:**

"domestically, in the United States of America, for that given year."

Through all my combined research over the many years I found that the United States can only claim taxable activity of business “in” land owned by the United States, which is approximately 24% of the Land. In land is referring to land that is defined in Article 1, Section 8, Paragraph 17 as enumerated in the ‘Constitution for the United States of America’. Therefore they are prohibited from claiming taxable activity of business that is not “in” land of the United States. The land distinction is found in Article 1, Section 8, Paragraph 17, in the Constitution for the United States of America. Which states > “To exercise exclusive Legislation in all Cases whatsoever, over such District (not exceeding ten Miles square) as may, by Cession of particular States, and the Acceptance of Congress, become the Seat of Government of the United States, and to exercise like Authority over all Places purchased by the Consent of the Legislature of the State in which the Same shall be, for the Erection of Forts, Magazines, Arsenals, dock-Yards, and other needful Buildings;...” ((make a **Judicial NOTICE request.**)) Essentially they can only legislatively tax, (by law), activity “in” their land, under their proprietary right, just the same as any landowner like me, or you, has right to a rent payment for property that we own under proprietary* (fancy work for owner) right, like farm land, or housing rentals. I always informed the client, that is what the ‘assessment request’ accomplishes, with no risk to anyone. The United States agents are trained to know the business that is taxable, as a matter of written law, and they have precise accurate means to calculate such, and certify such, if they follow the laws of the Congress of the United States. All laws must be in compliance with the United States, and we find they are, the tragedy happens when IRS agents start applying tax laws unconstitutionally. It is very simple, the IRS agent should always be used to make sure the assessment is true and accurate, and then they must sign it under penalties of perjury. Once that is sent you then you can have it investigated if you believe there may be an error. If there is proven to be an error, the agent is possibly personally liable, especially if you paid taxes based on that assessment, that is why they are very careful when making one. I have only seen a 2, true, complete, assessments in 30 years. If you have a true taxable income the IRS agent will likely send you an assessment, if you request it. If a person does not owe any tax then they generally harass that person, because that person does not know about the assessment requirement provision, and most simply pay out of fear of arrest, or just ignorance, and a strong desire to get on with their life. Most every person in America can use this law that governs the IRS if they wish, close to no one knows about it. Over the years, I have made 15 to 20,000\$ a month, had a million dollar farm, 28 houses, 50 rental units, and I always sent in the assessment request every year before January 5th, they have never responded, and they have never bothered me in 20 years, and I hide none of my income from anyone, and I do not pay any income taxes to the United States of America, which is the Plaintiff of this case. I suspect that the IRS does not respond to Mr Hird’s assessment request because if they did they would have to say they have no record of taxable income in the United States associated with him, therefore there is no taxes due by him. Based on my 30 years of experience, I have personally witnessed the defrauding of numerous people, by way of conspired court fraud, which is a serious crime. In my experience, generally the courts will not allow defendants, in IRS cases, to elaborate, or even speak of their ‘Good Faith Argument’ of utilizing the laws, of the United States, and the duties that the IRS agents must perform, before they can claim a tax from those same defendants, or accept their 1040 filing above his 10 identical request for an assessment that he sent in for the last 10 years. To do so is fatal to the ‘big government agenda’ we are presently experiencing, based on my research. It is common for United States judges to require that my clients never be allowed to be evidenced by the IRS agents as to “if” my clients are qualified, eligible, or required to file a 1040 tax form. I must add that there is usually no evidence, because of the very fact they, the IRS agents, refuse to respond, if they have the evidence they would bring it, they are always given plenty of time.

15. Based on your past experience, why is it possible that a man, like me, who may possess a recognized right, not to be compelled to file, or pay any tax, on the fruit of my labor, to the Plaintiff, or have a requirement to file, or place any accounting, numbers, on any 1040 tax form, to any standers of United States written law?

All we need to look at is the 'Declaration of Independence', and the Constitution for the United States of America.

You, as a man, as to the fruit of your labor, in my opinion, based on the location of your business, and that there is no evidence of any contract between the Plaintiff and you. Therefore you having all of the 'inalienable Rights' as enumerated in the 'Declaration of Independence' of July 4, 1776. In my opinion you have as to "life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness" as enumerated in the 'Declaration of Independence', just as the same, premise of natural law, is reaffirmed in President Abraham Lincoln's 'Gettysburg Address', in 1863, 87 years after July 4, 1776. So if there is no evidence that your labor is taxable under written law, the tax law is being unconstitutionally applied in this case. Your rights can not be converted to a taxable activity. Any such act by the Plaintiff is therefore wholly UN-constitutional, and no such UN-constitutional law is enforceable in any U.S. court. Every law student, or licensed attorney, can easily conclude such.

I must add that we do get prospective clients that wish to utilize the Assessment Package that have actually taken the 'Oath of Citizen', before an officer of the United States and they are possibly not a person that can use our 'Assessment Package'. The same may apply to people that are United States employees, ether way if used the IRS must answer, it is the law.

15. What does your 'Assessment Request' package recommend if the IRS agent actually "does" claim to have created one for me?

We immediately rely upon a Federal Law called – the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act that clearly communicates to them that they must provide all the information they relied upon to make the claimed tax obligation on you, and the amount due, under a sworn format. We do this because most all responses are not sworn assessments as the law requires. They are just a bluff, they are almost always not sworn to by the IRS agent. This seems to be done intentionally to let people think they are valid, when they are not, as a matter of law. Basically, generally, usually, they do not hear from the IRS again. That is a very popular product that I supply to my clients also. It is not just me in this service website, but over 25 people that supply these same products through the same site, and many other like sites. I have noticed that people that supply such devastating material about the governments massive fraud seem to, often, die very young. I guess, for me, going to heaven a little early can not be all bad.

16. Exactly what does the 'Request for Assessment Package' that you sent me, and inspected over the years, that was sent to the IRS, each year, intended to do?

I have a copy of Document 71, from this cases file, anyone can get one today at little cost, which is exactly what my records show you communicated to me over the years as sending. The only real difference is the dates that you sent it, as to the year of mailing.

I wish to read the document into the record, because I have been told that the court judge has refused to have it entered as an EXHIBIT, as evidence, as to Mr Hird's Good Faith Argument.

(Paul Reads document into the record.)

X I was also instructed that Mr Hird wished to enter evidence into the record as an EXHIBIT ____, to evidence that there is no evidence as business activity in land that has the Plaintiff as 'owner of record'. In my opinion if there is no land law jurisdiction nexus, then what is left is a need for a contract nexus. Which without proof of those the 'Declaration of Independence's', "inalienable right" as mentioned earlier, with the well established forgone conclusion that "forced servant hood, or slavery," are both forbidden in the United States. So the United States has no legal claim on any right to require any correct number entries, on any IRS tax form, or on any form from the Plaintiff, or any obligation to keep any records, for the Plaintiff. And to ultimately take his freedom, and his property, is a violation of the seventh commandment, of God's 10 commandments, "Thou shalt not steal".

16. One last question, you have examined all the exhibits that were offered by the Plaintiff, as to bank activity, in your expert opinion how are they associated with my 'Assessment Request' from the IRS?

If they have fact evidence that you are a taxable person, or you did activity in land that is taxable, as a matter of law, then they are reliant, if not they mean nothing.

XXXX Reserve me as a witness before closing arguments commence.